

A geometrical particle model for anyons.

Armen Nersessian^{1,2} and Eduardo Ramos³

¹*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia.*

²*Department of Theoretical Physics, Yerevan State University, A. Manoukian St., 5, Yerevan, 375012 Armenia*

³*Dept. de Física Teórica, C-XI Universidad Autónoma de Madrid Ciudad Universitaria de Cantoblanco 28049 Madrid, Spain*

(February 1, 2008)

We consider the simplest geometrical particle model associated with light-like curves in $(2+1)$ -dimensions. The action is proportional to the pseudo-arc length of the particle's path. We show that under quantization it yields the $(2+1)$ -dimensional anyonic field equation supplemented with a Majorana-like relation on mass and spin, i.e., $\text{mass} \times \text{spin} = \alpha^2$, with α the coupling constant in front of the action.

PACS number(s): 03-20.+i, 03-65-w, 11.10Ef, 11-30.Cp

The search for lagrangians describing spinning particles, both massive and massless, has a long history. The conventional approach is based on an extension of Minkowski space-time by auxiliary grassmann variables which, after quantization, provide the extra degrees of freedom required for integer or half-integer spin. In contrast, in planar physics spin is not quantized and particle states can be anyonic, i.e., their spin can take any real value. Thus, in the general case, it is necessary to provide the initial classical model with extra bosonic variables. An interesting, and by now well-explored, possibility is to supply those extra degrees of freedom by lagrangians depending on higher order geometrical invariants. The requirements of Poincaré and reparametrization invariance restrict the admissible set of such lagrangians to the ones depending only on curvature and torsion. An intensive study in this direction, performed in the late eighties by several authors (see [2] and refs therein), was inspired by the remarkable work of Polyakov [1] on the CP^1 model minimally coupled to a Chern-Simons gauge field. An evaluation of the effective action for the charged solitonic excitation yielded as a result

$$\mathcal{S}_{eff} = \int (m + \frac{\pi}{2\theta}\tau)|d\mathbf{x}|, \quad |\dot{\mathbf{x}}| \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

with τ the torsion of the particle's world-line, and θ the gauge coupling strength.

However, on $(2+1)$ -dimensional space one can consider actions of other sort defined on light-like (or null) curves by

$$S_0 = \int \mathcal{L}(\kappa)d\sigma, \quad d\sigma = |\dot{\mathbf{x}}|^{1/2}dt, \quad \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

with $\kappa = |d^3\mathbf{x}/(d\sigma)^3|^2$ being the analog of the torsion for null paths.

In a previous paper [3] we considered such an action, with a constant $\mathcal{L} = 2\alpha$, on $(3+1)$ dimensions. It was found that upon quantization this system describes massive particles of arbitrary integer or half-integer spin, and that its mass m and spin s satisfy the Majorana relation, $ms = \alpha^2$. In this paper we study the same system but immersed in $(2+1)$ -dimensional space-time. Explicitly our action for null paths is given by

$$S_0 = 2\alpha \int d\sigma. \quad (3)$$

We will show in the following that the $(2+1)$ -dimensional case shares all the nice properties of the $(3+1)$ -dimensional system, with the only exception that its spin can take arbitrary real values. That is, the system is essentially anyonic.

The essential tool to understand the geometry of null curves in $(2+1)$ is supplied by their Frenet equations. A moving frame adapted to the description of light-like curves is given by

$$(\mathbf{e}_\pm, \mathbf{e}_1) : \mathbf{e}_\pm \mathbf{e}_\pm = \mathbf{e}_\pm \mathbf{e}_1 = 0, \quad \mathbf{e}_+ \mathbf{e}_- = 1, \quad \mathbf{e}_1^2 = -1, \quad (4)$$

with vector product

$$\mathbf{e}_+ \times \mathbf{e}_- = \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{e}_\pm \times \mathbf{e}_1 = \pm \mathbf{e}_\pm. \quad (5)$$

With these conventions the pseudo-arc length σ , and the curvature κ , are defined via the Frenet equations by:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\sigma} = \mathbf{e}_+, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{e}_+}{d\sigma} = \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{e}_1}{d\sigma} = \kappa \mathbf{e}_+ + \mathbf{e}_-, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{e}_-}{d\sigma} = \kappa \mathbf{e}_1. \quad (6)$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{\sigma} = \sqrt{-\dot{\mathbf{e}}_+^2}, \quad 2\kappa = \left(\frac{d\mathbf{e}_1}{d\sigma} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

One may now rewrite our initial lagrangian in an equivalent first order form:

$$L = 2\alpha \sqrt{-\dot{\mathbf{e}}_+^2} + \mathbf{p}(\dot{\mathbf{x}} - \sqrt{-\dot{\mathbf{e}}_+^2} \mathbf{e}_+) - \lambda \mathbf{e}_+^2 \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}_+, \lambda$ are independent variables.

By direct application of Dirac's formalism for singular lagrangians, one obtains the resulting Hamiltonian system (in the pseudo-arc gauge, $\dot{\sigma} = 1$):

$$\mathcal{H} = (2\alpha)^{-1} (\mathbf{p}_+^2 + (\mathbf{p}\mathbf{e}_+ - 2\alpha)^2 + \mathbf{p}^2 \mathbf{e}_+^2), \quad (9)$$

supplied with the constraints

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_0 \equiv \mathbf{p}_+^2 + (\mathbf{p}\mathbf{e}_+ - 2\alpha)^2 \approx 0, \\ \phi_1 \equiv \mathbf{e}_+^2 \approx 0, \\ \phi_2 \equiv \mathbf{p}_+\mathbf{e}_+ \approx 0, \\ \phi_3 \equiv \mathbf{p}\mathbf{e}_+ - \alpha \approx 0, \\ \phi_4 \equiv \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}_+ \approx 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (10)$$

Consistency of the equations of motion with those of Frenet requires that

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = -\mathbf{p}_+/\alpha, \quad \mathbf{e}_- = \mathbf{p}/\alpha + \kappa\mathbf{e}_+, \quad \kappa = -\mathbf{p}^2/2\alpha^2. \quad (11)$$

The reduced phase space is *six*-dimensional because there is only one first-class constraint, $\mathcal{H}/\sigma$. In order to obtain one-particle states one should eliminate the extra degrees of freedom. This is naturally achieved by imposing the mass-shell condition

$$\mathbf{p}^2 = m^2, \quad (12)$$

which is a constant of motion for our system [4].

The generators of Lorentz transformations read:

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}_+ \times \mathbf{e}_+ = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{x} + \alpha\mathbf{e}_+. \quad (13)$$

From this expression and the constraint ϕ_3 one directly obtains that

$$\mathbf{p}\mathbf{J} = \alpha^2, \quad (14)$$

which is tantamount of saying that there is a Majorana-type relation between mass and spin given by

$$ms = \alpha^2. \quad (15)$$

If one introduces now the coordinates

$$\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{p}^2}\mathbf{p}_+, \quad \mathbf{E}_+ \equiv \mathbf{e}_+ - \frac{\alpha}{\mathbf{p}^2}\mathbf{p}, \quad (16)$$

the symplectic structure Ω takes the simple form (on the constrained surface)

$$\Omega = d\mathbf{p} \wedge d\mathbf{X} + d\mathbf{p}_+ \wedge d\mathbf{E}_+, \quad (17)$$

while the constraints read

$$\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}_+ = 0, \quad \mathbf{p}\mathbf{E}_+ = 0, \quad \mathbf{p}_+^2 + \alpha^2 = 0, \quad \mathbf{p}_+\mathbf{E}_+ = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}_+^2 + \alpha^2/\mathbf{p}^2 = 0. \quad (18)$$

The equations of motion for $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{E}_+$, $\mathbf{p}_+$, $\mathbf{p}$ are

$$\frac{d^2\mathbf{X}}{(d\sigma)^2} = 0, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d\sigma} = 0, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\frac{d^2\mathbf{E}_+}{(d\sigma)^2} = 2\kappa\mathbf{E}_+, \quad \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}_+}{(d\sigma)^2} = 2\kappa\mathbf{p}_+. \quad (20)$$

Therefore $\mathbf{X}$ may be interpreted as the free coordinate associated with the particle, while $\mathbf{E}_+$ is the responsible of the *Zitterbewegung* associated with the spin degrees of freedom.

It will show convenient to introduce the complex coordinate

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{p}_+ + i\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2}\mathbf{E}_+. \quad (21)$$

In terms of this coordinate the constraints are given by

$$\mathbf{z}^2 = 0, \quad \mathbf{z}\bar{\mathbf{z}} + 2\alpha^2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{p}\mathbf{z} = 0, \quad (22)$$

while symplectic one-form θ and the Lorentz generators $\mathbf{J}$ read

$$\theta = \mathbf{p}d\mathbf{X} + \frac{i(\mathbf{z}d\bar{\mathbf{z}} - \bar{\mathbf{z}}d\mathbf{z})}{4m}, \quad \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{X} + \frac{i}{2m}\mathbf{z} \times \bar{\mathbf{z}}. \quad (23)$$

One may resolve the constraints by noticing that the first two of them impose that $\mathbf{z}$ may be written in terms of a single complex parameter ω as

$$\mathbf{z} = \frac{\alpha}{i(\omega - \bar{\omega})} (1 + \omega^2, 1 - \omega^2, 2\omega). \quad (24)$$

From the remaining constraint $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{z} = 0$ follows that

$$\omega = \frac{ip_2 \pm m}{p_0 + p_1}. \quad (25)$$

So one can finally write the symplectic one-form θ and Lorentz generators $\mathbf{J}$ solely in terms of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{p}$. It is a straightforward analytical computation to check that on the $\mathbf{p}^2 = m^2$ hypersurface the induced symplectic two-form coincides with the one of the standard “minimal covariant model” for anyons [5], which is given by the Dirac’s monopole-like two-form

$$d\theta = d\mathbf{p} \wedge d\mathbf{X} \pm s \frac{\epsilon_{ijk} p^i dp^j \wedge dp^k}{2m^3}. \quad (26)$$

As it is well known, from the fact that this two-form is globally defined it follows that the spin s is not quantized. For a detailed explanation of this, as well as other aspect of the quantum theory of anyons, we refer the interested reader to [5,6].

Acknowledgements. The work of A.N. has been partially supported by grants INTAS 93-127-ext, INTAS 96-538, and INTAS-RFBR 95-0829.

-
- [1] A.M.Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**, 325 (1988)
 - [2] M.S.Plyushchay, Nucl. Phys. **B362**, 54 (1991)
 - [3] A. Nersessian, E.Ramos, Phys. Lett. **B**, accepted for publication, hep-th/9807143
 - [4] One may write an action containing explicitly the mass-shell condition. It reads

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d\sigma (2\alpha - m|d\mathbf{x}/d\sigma - \mathbf{e}_+|), \quad \dot{\sigma} \equiv \sqrt{-\dot{\mathbf{e}}_+^2},$$

where $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{e}_+$ are independent variables, and $\mathbf{e}_+^2 \approx 0$.

- [5] R.Jackiw, V.P. Nair, Phys. Rev. **D43**, 1933 (1991)
- [6] I.Gorbunov, S.Kuzenko, S.Lyakhovich, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, 4199 (1997)